

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/964,694	09/28/2001	Hiroyuki Kinugawa	Q65888	2938	
75	590 02/21/2003				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W		
Washington, Do	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	12574) A
	09/964,694	KINUGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH/	S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	0	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (D (O	
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n prionty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a haya haya wasabad		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		an Ma	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/964,694

Art Unit: 1722

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 7, line 12, "29 having" what?; "poweer" (page 10, line 20); "64" (page 10, line 26) should be -- 65--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a crankshaft (page 8, first paragraph) as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,694

Art Unit: 1722

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent 9-225975 in view of German Patent 69416356 or Buzzell et al.

Japanese Patent '975 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, '975 does not disclose convex portions whereby the ejector pins eject the molded article at a point not contacting the convex portions.

References to '356 and Buzzell et al. teach ejection of a molded article having convex portions where the ejector pins do not contact the convex portions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape the article to have convex portions and to position the ejection pins so as not to touch the convex portions in the apparatus of '975 as suggested by '356 and Buzzell et al.

Shaping the cavity to form a seal member would have been obvious since it is well known to shape a cavity to that of the article desired.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 703-308-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Jun Newberk
Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

2-14-03

twh February 14, 2003